Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/846,781	HULAI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DEBBIE M. LE	2168
II Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) <u>DEBBIE M. LE</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Peter Elyjiw</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 January 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: current rejection of record		
Claims discussed: 2, 7, 15, 18		
Prior art documents discussed: Saulpaugh et al (U.S. Patent 7,426,721 B1)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner provided the identified above prior art to Applicant's representative for review in order to further discuss distinguished between the claimed invention and the reference. Applicant proposed new claim amendments that claims 1-6 and 13-16 are cancelled, claims 7 has been amended and a new set of claims 18-22 are added. Claim 18 recites "computer-readable medium", however, Applicant pointed out that the specification paragraph 0042 has limited to execute the claim over signal carier wave.